STEVE COHEN 9TH DISTRICT, TENNESSEE

2404 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-3265 Fax: (202) 225-5663

CLIFFORD DAVIS/ODELL HORTON FEDERAL BUILDING 167 NORTH MAIN STREET SUITE 369 MEMPHIS, TN 38103

TELEPHONE: (901) 544-4131 FAX: (901) 544-4329 www.cohen.house.gov

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-4209

October 25, 2016

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

SUBCOMMITTEES:

CONSTITUTION AND CIVIL JUSTICE - RANKING MEMBER COURTS, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND THE INTERNET

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

SUBCOMMITTEES:
AVIATION

HIGHWAYS AND TRANSIT
WATER RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT

COMMISSION ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE (U.S. HELSINKI COMMISSION)

The Honorable Loretta E. Lynch Attorney General United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530

Dear Attorney General Lynch:

As the Ranking Member of the House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice, and given that Election Day is only two weeks away, I write to you out of concern about news reports that, in light of the Supreme Court's decision in *Shelby County v. Holder*, the Department of Justice (DOJ) will be severely limiting the number of election observers that it is deploying nationally, sending observers only to those locations where judges have ordered oversight. While I thank the DOJ for its efforts and its genuine commitment to monitoring the upcoming elections, I note that the DOJ will not be sending observers to most of the more than a dozen states that have imposed new voting restrictions that could deny the ability of some voters to exercise their right to vote.²

Although the *Shelby County* decision significantly hampered the ability to enforce the Voting Rights Act of 1965, parts of the Act remain in full force and effect. Moreover, some legal observers reportedly believe that the decision did not specifically address the DOJ's authority to send observers and, therefore, does not appear to limit DOJ's authority in that regard.³

Given that it is unclear from news reports precisely why the DOJ believed that it was required to severely limit its deployment of observers, I would appreciate an elaboration of the DOJ's

¹ 10/6/16 Washington Post: Justice Department significantly reducing number of federal observers stationed inside polling places, available at: https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/justice-department-severely-curtails-election-observers-inside-polling-places-this-november/2016/10/06/dfb49caa-875a-11e6-92c2-14b64f3d453f_story.html

² 10/6/16 Washington Post: Justice Department significantly reducing number of federal observers stationed inside polling places, available at: https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/justice-department-severely-curtails-election-observers-inside-polling-places-this-november/2016/10/06/dfb49caa-875a-11e6-92c2-14b64f3d453f story.html

³ 10/24/16 New York Times: Why the Justice Dept. Will Have Far Fewer Watchdogs in Polling Places, available at: http://www.nytimes.com/2016/10/25/us/politics/why-the-justice-dept-will-have-far-fewer-watchdogs-in-polling-places.html? r=0

reasoning on this matter. More importantly, I would encourage the DOJ to use all existing authority to protect voting rights to the maximum extent permitted by law.

The right to vote is absolutely central to our democracy. No American should ever be denied the ability to exercise that right based on unwarranted procedural barriers to voting or because of intimidation, discrimination, or for any other inappropriate reason. While many in Congress are doing their best to restore the Voting Rights Act to its full effectiveness, it is a constitutional and moral imperative that all branches of government, including the DOJ, do their utmost to protect citizens' access to voting.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

As always, I remain,

Most sincerely,

Steve Cohen

Member of Congress